IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 17.11.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.TAMILVANAN

Writ Petition No.13370 of 1999

G.Rajendran
rep. by Power Agent
Ramesh Kumar K.Shah
No.309, Mint Street,
Chennai-600 003. .. Petitioner

Vs.

Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development
Authority, Chennai-8. .. Respondent

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to consider the application for regularisation resubmitted on 06.01.1999 vide SBC 4/99 in respect of property at No.309, Mint Street, Chennai-3, which was rejected on 01.06.1999 under the Provisions of Application, Assessment and Collection of Regularisation fee (Chennai Metropolitan Area) Rules 1999.

For Petitioner : Mr. No appearance
For Respondent : Mr.J.Ravindran for CMDA

WEB COPY

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by P.SATHASIVAM, J.)

The petitioner has filed this petition to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent-CMDA to consider the application for regularisation resubmitted on 06.01.1999 vide SBC

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

4/99 in respect of property at No.309, Mint Street, Chennai-3, which was rejected on 01.06.1999 under the Provisions of Application, Assessment and Collection of Regularisation fee (Chennai Metropolitan Area) Rules 1999.

2. In the counter affidavit dated 16.11.2000 filed by the respondent, particularly in paragraph 6, it is specifically stated that "the planning permission application which was submitted on 06.01.1999 by the petitioner seeking regularisation has been disposed of by refusing sanction in the respondent letter No.C3/354/99, dated 01.06.1999".

3. In view of the above information that the petitioner's application has been disposed of by the respondent as early as in the year 1999, no further adjudication is required in this petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No costs. It is made clear that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he is free to challenge the same, if the same is permissible at this juncture in the manner known to law.

raa

Sd/
Asst. Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst.Registrar

To

1.The Member Secretary,
Chennai Metropolitan Development
Authority, Chennai-8.

+ One CC to Mr. J. Ravindran, Advocate SR 56224.

GG (co)
sg 29/11/06

W.P.No.13370 of 1999
17.11.2006

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/